

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/351,591	07/12/1999	TSUNEYOSHI TAKAGI	B569-008	9963
26272	7590 01/21/2004		EXAMI	NER
	CKER & DALEY	DIEP, NHON THANH		
2ND FLOOR . 330 MADISON AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK,	NY 10017		2613	
·			DATE MAILED: 01/21/2004	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			•				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/351,591	TAKAGI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Nhon T Diep	2613				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ac	ugust 2003.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10,12,13,18 and 19</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 July 1999 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 							
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/351,591

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-10,12,13,18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kageyu (US 5,745,167), in view of Davis (US 5,963,666).

Kageyu discloses a video monitoring system comprising the same control apparatus capable of controlling a plurality of instrument (fig. 1, el. 1-2-1, 1-2-2, ..., 102-200), comprising a control right acquisition device for acquiring a control right to control an arbitrary instrument (camera) out of the plurality of instruments (cameras); and a control restriction device for restricting control of an instrument (camera), of which control right is not acquired, in accordance with control right information about the control right acquired by the control right acquisition device (figs. 19-20 and col. 13, ln. 50 – col. 14, ln. 63) as specified in claims 1, 6, 8, 12 and 18-19; the control right information includes information indicating at least three statuses: a status wherein no apparatus acquired the control right (col. 13, ln. 60-65), another status wherein another apparatus acquires the control right (the examiner interprets this as client A acquires the control right and client B does not acquire the control right (the examiner interprets this as: client B acquires the control right and client A does not acquire the interprets this as: client B acquires the control right and client A does not acquire the

control right; col. 14, ln. 5-7); and the control restriction device for restricting to controlling an instrument, on the basis of the control right information (col. 14, In. 23-29) as specified in claims 2 and 9; the control restriction device invalidates a control command for controlling the plurality of instruments when another apparatus acquires the control right (col. 14, ln. 23-29) as specified in claims 3 and 10; a display device for displaying at least the control right information (fig. 1, el. 105-1, 105-2, 105-3) as specified in claims 5, 7 and 13. It is noted that Kageyu does not particularly disclose a plurality of instrument, which have specified function and move relatedly with mutual movements as specified in claims 1, 6, 8, 12 and 18-19. Davis teaches, in the security sensor arrangement, at least two instrument used to detect an intruder, namely a video camera and an infrared sensor that must have overlapped field of view (Figs. 5-7, col. 6, In. 37-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Kageyu by replacing regular video cameras with the combination of multiple sensing instrument as taught be Davis. Doing so would help to eliminate false alarms.

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. Cotton et al (US 4,630,110) discloses a surveillance system.
 - b. Vaios (US 6,271,752) discloses an intelligent multi-access system.
 - c. Goedeke et al (US 5,153,722) discloses a fire detection system.
 - d. Svatek (US 4,132,471) discloses an expendable self-developing camera.

Application/Control Number: 09/351,591 Page 4

Art Unit: 2613

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhon T Diep whose telephone number is 703-305-4648. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris S Kelley can be reached on 703 305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 87209314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 305-2600.

ND

1/12/2004

NHON DIEP PRIMARY EXAMINER